1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOHN L. BURRIS, Esq./ State Bar #69888 BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, Esq./State Bar #222173 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS Airport Corporate Centre 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, California 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200 Facsimile: (510) 839-3882 Email: john.burris@johnburrislaw.com bnisenbaum@gmail.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	ESTHER HWANG,	Case No. C 07 2718 MCC
13	Plaintiff,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION AND
14	VS.	(PROPOSED) ORDER TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL; DIRECTIONS
15	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	TO PLAINTIFF
16	a municipal corporation; HEATHER FONG, in	Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
17	her capacity as Chief of Police for the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; JESSE	
18	SERNA, individually, and in his capacity as a	
19	police officer for the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; NELSON ARTIGA,	
20	individually and in his capacity as a police officer for the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	
21	FRANCISCO; and, San Francisco police officers	
22	DOES 1-25, inclusive,	
23	Defendants.	
24	MOTION	
25 26	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
	Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiffs hereby move the Court for an Order to File	
27	Documents Under Seal, including Plaintiff's Supplemental Briefing in Opposition to Defendants'	
28	Motion for Summary Judgment. The document should be sealed pursuant to the terms of the	
	The document suc	oute of sealed pursuant to the terms of the

Administrative Motion and (proposed) Order to File Documents Under Seal

1 Stipulated Protective Order in the above-noted action, as it is based on and contains throughout the motion references to Defendant Officer SERNA's internal records specifically protected from 2 disclosure by the Protective Order, as well as references to and quotations from sealed portions of the 3 previously-filed and sealed Declaration of Lt. Daniel J. Mahoney in support of Defendant's Reply to 4 5 Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment. 6 7 8 Respectfully submitted, 9 10 Dated: September 19, 2008 The Law Offices of John L. Burris 11 12 Ben Nisenbaum 13 Attorney for Plaintiff 14 (PROPOSED) ORDER 15 Because plaintiff has shown that portions of the Supplemental Briefing contain sealable matter, PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER, Plaintiff's 16 Supplemental Briefing in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is herewith 17 ordered filed under seal pursuant to Local Rule 79-5. 18 Because the filing contains non-sealable matter, however, plaintiff is ORDERED to file in 19 the public record, no later than September 29, 2008, a redacted version thereof. IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 Dated: September 23, 2008 22 NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 23 24

25

26

27

28